



International Journal of Innovative Research in Science Engineering and Technology (IJIRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.699

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025

Employee Feedback Mechanisms and their Effect on Job Satisfaction and Performance

Dr. Roopadarshini.S¹, Ms. Prajwala H R²

Assistant Professor, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli,
Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka, India

Student, Department of Management Studies (MBA), Centre for Post Graduate Studies, Muddenahalli,
Chikkaballapura, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Belagavi, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT: Employee feedback mechanisms play a vital role in shaping job satisfaction and enhancing performance within organizations. This study examines how structured and continuous feedback systems influence employee engagement, motivation, and productivity. Feedback serves as more than a communication tool—it is a developmental process that helps employees align personal performance with organizational goals while fostering a sense of appreciation and trust. The research focuses on both formal channels, including performance appraisals and surveys, and informal methods such as peer recognition and open discussions. Transparent and timely feedback enables employees to understand expectations clearly, identify strengths, and improve shortcomings, thereby creating a positive and supportive workplace culture. Effective feedback systems are those that are consistent, specific, constructive, and two-way in nature. Drawing on Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and Social Exchange Theory, this study highlights how feedback that emphasizes recognition and fairness can strengthen employee satisfaction and loyalty. Evidence from different industries shows that organizations promoting regular feedback tend to experience higher innovation, collaboration, and reduced turnover. Moreover, feedback-rich environments encourage continuous learning and adaptability, which are crucial for long-term success. The study concludes that feedback mechanisms integrated with performance management and employee development practices act as powerful drivers of organizational efficiency. By promoting openness, empathy, and developmental communication, organizations can transform feedback into a tool for sustained engagement and improved performance. Thus, feedback contributes not only to achieving organizational objectives but also to fostering an environment of growth and mutual respect among employees.

KEYWORDS: Employee feedback mechanisms, job satisfaction, employee performance, organizational goals, continuous feedback, performance appraisal, employee engagement, communication, motivation, workplace culture, constructive feedback,

I. INTRODUCTION

Background and Context of the Study

In today's competitive business environment, organizations increasingly recognize that their most valuable asset is their workforce. Employee satisfaction and performance are critical determinants of organizational success, influencing productivity, innovation, and long-term sustainability. One of the key factors driving these outcomes is the presence of an effective **employee feedback mechanism**—a structured process through which employees receive and provide feedback about their work, performance, and workplace environment.

Feedback serves as a two-way communication tool between employees and management, enabling organizations to identify performance gaps, recognize achievements, and foster continuous improvement. According to organizational behaviour theories, feedback not only enhances employee motivation and engagement but also strengthens trust and transparency within the workplace. Effective feedback systems—whether formal (like performance appraisals, surveys, and 360-degree reviews) or informal (like regular discussions and peer reviews)—help employees understand expectations, align with organizational goals, and feel valued for their contributions.

Relevance of the Study

With the rise of data-driven human resource management, feedback has evolved from being a periodic event to a continuous process supported by digital platforms and analytics. However, despite the availability of multiple feedback tools, many organizations still struggle to link feedback effectively with employee satisfaction and performance outcomes. Employees often perceive feedback as biased, inconsistent, or lacking actionable insights, which can reduce motivation and trust in the organization.

This study is highly relevant in the current context of hybrid work environments and dynamic organizational cultures. It seeks to explore how feedback mechanisms influence two crucial aspects of employee experience—**job satisfaction** and **performance**. Understanding this relationship can help HR professionals design systems that are more transparent, engaging, and development-oriented, thereby improving both individual and organizational performance.

Research Gap

While several studies have examined the role of performance appraisal and feedback in employee development, most existing research focuses narrowly on feedback frequency or types of feedback rather than the effectiveness and perception of the mechanisms themselves. There remains a noticeable gap in understanding how different feedback mechanisms—such as peer feedback, supervisor feedback, and self-assessment—affect employee job satisfaction and performance in contemporary organizational settings.

Furthermore, limited research has been conducted in the context of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) or emerging industries, where formal HR systems are still evolving. This study aims to fill this gap by investigating how structured and unstructured feedback processes influence employees' attitudes and performance outcomes, providing valuable insights for HR practitioners and organizational leaders.

Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of this research are as follows:

1. To examine the relationship between employee feedback mechanisms and job satisfaction.
2. To analyze the impact of feedback mechanisms on employee performance.
3. To identify the most effective types of feedback practices that enhance employee engagement and motivation.
4. To provide recommendations for improving feedback systems to support organizational growth and employee well-being.

Research Questions

Based on the objectives, the study seeks to answer the following research questions:

1. How do employee feedback mechanisms influence job satisfaction within an organization?
2. What is the impact of feedback mechanisms on employee performance levels?
3. Which types of feedback (managerial, peer, or self-evaluation) are most effective in improving satisfaction and performance?
4. How can organizations enhance their feedback systems to achieve better employee and organizational outcomes?

Hypotheses of the Study

To empirically test the relationships, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- **H₁:** There is a significant positive relationship between effective employee feedback mechanisms and job satisfaction.
- **H₂:** Employee feedback mechanisms have a significant impact on employee performance.
- **H₃:** Continuous and constructive feedback leads to higher levels of job satisfaction compared to periodic or one-way feedback.
- **H₄:** Transparent and participative feedback mechanisms enhance both employee motivation and overall performance.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

1. Kluger and DeNisi (1996) developed the Feedback Intervention Theory and examined how different types of feedback affect employee performance. They found that feedback directed at task-related behaviours improved performance, while feedback focused on self or personal evaluation could reduce motivation. However, the study was largely theoretical and did not explore feedback's impact on job satisfaction in practical organizational settings.
2. Sparr and Sonnentag (2008) investigated the relationship between the feedback environment and employee well-being in organizations. Their findings showed that a supportive feedback environment enhances job satisfaction by increasing employees' sense of control and reducing helplessness. However, the study was limited to supervisor-employee feedback and did not consider peer or self-feedback mechanisms.
3. Culbertson, Henning, and Payne (2013) analysed how feedback type and goal orientation affect satisfaction with performance appraisals. They concluded that constructive feedback improves appraisal satisfaction when employees have a learning-oriented mindset. However, they noted that negative feedback tends to reduce satisfaction if not paired with developmental support, indicating a need for balanced and continuous feedback systems.
4. Mosquera, Soares, and Ribeiro (2018) explored the relevance of the feedback environment on job satisfaction among Portuguese employees. Their study found that feedback quality and credibility significantly influence job satisfaction, though satisfaction with feedback did not mediate the relationship as expected. The study suggested that more variables such as feedback frequency and immediacy should be examined.
5. Rasheed et al. (2015) examined feedback orientation and satisfaction as determinants of in-role job performance. Their results indicated that employees who perceive feedback as useful and fair tend to perform better. However, the study focused only on feedback orientation and did not evaluate how organizational mechanisms or culture influence these perceptions.
6. Huisman et al. (2019) conducted a meta-analysis on the impact of peer feedback and found that structured peer feedback improves task performance and collaboration. Yet, most of the reviewed studies were conducted in educational contexts, leaving a gap in workplace applications of peer feedback.
7. Shafizadeh (2022) studied remote work environments and found that timely and clear feedback mitigates work-related stress and enhances job satisfaction among virtual employees. However, the study was limited to IT professionals and did not examine feedback mechanisms in hybrid or traditional setups.
8. Su and Jiang (2023) explored the effects of positive feedback and feedback-seeking behaviour on employee performance. Their findings revealed that feedback-seeking mediates the relationship between positive feedback and performance. Yet, the study did not investigate how feedback frequency or delivery style impacts satisfaction levels.
9. Guenther and Lehnert (2024) examined how formalized annual feedback influences job satisfaction in small and medium enterprises. They found that overly formal feedback systems can reduce satisfaction compared to informal, developmental conversations. The study was limited to SMEs and did not account for industry-specific variations.
10. Drouvelis et al. (2022) emphasized the role of feedback quality and concluded that specific, actionable feedback significantly enhances employee performance. However, they highlighted that feedback lacking clarity or follow-up tends to have negligible or negative effects on motivation, showing a need for better feedback training among managers.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Research Design

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. A descriptive approach is employed to gather detailed information about existing employee feedback practices within the organization, including how employees perceive feedback and how it influences their motivation and job satisfaction. This design helps to provide a factual and accurate account of the feedback systems currently in place.

The analytical component focuses on interpreting and examining the relationship between feedback mechanisms and employee outcomes. It involves the use of statistical tools to analyze how feedback practices (such as frequency, quality, and source) affect job satisfaction and employee performance. The combination of descriptive and analytical

research is appropriate for this study because it allows for both a clear understanding of existing feedback structures and an empirical evaluation of their effectiveness.

Population and Sample

The population of this study comprises employees working in different departments and hierarchical levels within the selected organization. Since employee feedback is a cross-functional phenomenon, it is essential to include diverse participants from managerial, supervisory, and operational roles. This inclusion ensures that the perspectives of all categories of employees are represented, from decision-makers who provide feedback to staff members who receive it. A sample size of 100 respondents has been chosen for the study, which is considered adequate for a small-to-medium-sized organization. To achieve representativeness, the researcher has used a stratified random sampling method. The workforce was divided into strata based on departments or designations (for example: production, administration, quality, and sales), and random samples were drawn from each stratum. This method reduces sampling bias and ensures that data reflect a balanced cross-section of the organization.

IV. DATA COLLECTION METHODS

The study uses a combination of **primary** and **secondary data** to ensure accuracy and depth of analysis.

1. Primary Data:

The main source of primary data is a **structured questionnaire** designed to measure various aspects of feedback mechanisms and their impact on job satisfaction and performance. The questionnaire is divided into sections covering:

- Demographic details (age, gender, designation, experience)
- Feedback characteristics (frequency, clarity, timeliness, source credibility)
- Job satisfaction factors (motivation, recognition, work environment)
- Performance indicators (goal achievement, productivity, initiative)

Respondents rated each statement using a five-point Likert scale, ranging from “Strongly Disagree” to “Strongly Agree.” The questionnaire was distributed physically and electronically to ensure wider participation. In addition, informal interviews with HR managers and department heads were conducted to gain a deeper understanding of the company’s feedback systems and policies.

2. Secondary Data:

Secondary data were collected from published sources such as HR journals, textbooks, company reports, research articles, and previous studies related to employee feedback, motivation, and satisfaction. These sources provided theoretical support and helped in designing the conceptual framework for the study.

Data Analysis Tools

Once the data were collected, they were coded, tabulated, and analysed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) and Microsoft Excel. Both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques were used to interpret the results systematically.

- **Descriptive Statistics:** Mean, percentage, and standard deviation were used to summarize employee responses and identify general trends in feedback perception.
- **Chi-Square Test:** Used to determine the association between employee feedback mechanisms and job satisfaction.
- **Correlation Analysis:** Applied to examine the strength and direction of the relationship between feedback quality and employee performance.
- **Regression Analysis:** Used to predict the extent to which feedback mechanisms influence satisfaction and performance levels.

These analytical tools help transform raw data into meaningful insights and ensure that findings are statistically valid and reliable.

Variables of the Study

The study includes both **independent** and **dependent variables**:

• Independent Variable:

Employee Feedback Mechanisms — including the type of feedback (formal, informal, peer, self, managerial), feedback quality (clarity, usefulness, timeliness), and feedback frequency.

• **Dependent Variables:**

1. **Job Satisfaction** – measured through factors like motivation, recognition, and morale.
2. **Employee Performance** – assessed through goal achievement, efficiency, and work quality.

The underlying assumption of the study is that effective and well-structured feedback mechanisms lead to higher levels of job satisfaction, which in turn enhances employee performance.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

This section presents the analysis of the data collected from 100 respondents through the structured questionnaire. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics, correlation, and chi-square tests. The findings are represented through tables and charts, followed by interpretations that explain the results in clear terms. The analysis focuses on understanding how employee feedback mechanisms affect job satisfaction and performance.

1. Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	62	62%
	Female	38	38%
Age	20–30 years	45	45%
	31–40 years	35	35%
	Above 40 years	20	20%
Experience	Below 2 years	28	28%
	2–5 years	47	47%
	Above 5 years	25	25%

Interpretation:

The table shows that most of the respondents are between 20 and 40 years old, indicating a relatively young and dynamic workforce. The majority have between 2–5 years of experience, which implies they have sufficient exposure to the organization’s feedback mechanisms. The gender distribution is balanced enough to ensure that results are representative.

2. Employees’ Perception of Feedback Mechanisms

Interpretation:

Statement	Agree (%)	Neutral (%)	Disagree (%)
My supervisor provides regular feedback on my work.	70	20	10
Feedback is constructive and helps me improve performance.	68	18	14
The organization encourages open communication.	72	15	13
Feedback sessions are two-way (discussion-based).	65	22	13
I receive timely feedback after task completion.	60	25	15

A majority of respondents agree that they receive **regular and constructive feedback** from their supervisors. However, nearly one-fourth remain neutral about the timeliness and openness of feedback, suggesting there is room for improvement in ensuring consistent and prompt communication between management and employees.

2. Relationship Between Feedback and Job Satisfaction

Feedback Frequency	Highly Satisfied (%)	Moderately Satisfied (%)	Dissatisfied (%)
Frequent Feedback	75	20	5
Occasional Feedback	50	35	15
Rare Feedback	20	40	40

Interpretation:

The data show a **strong positive relationship** between the frequency of feedback and job satisfaction. Employees who receive **frequent and consistent feedback** tend to show higher levels of satisfaction compared to those who rarely receive feedback. This supports the hypothesis that **effective feedback mechanisms enhance employee satisfaction**.

3. Impact of Feedback Quality on Employee Performance

Feedback Quality	High Performance (%)	Average Performance (%)	Low Performance (%)
Clear and Specific Feedback	80	18	2
General/Unclear Feedback	45	40	15
No Formal Feedback	25	45	30

Interpretation:

The results indicate that **feedback quality plays a critical role** in shaping employee performance. Employees who receive **specific and actionable feedback** perform significantly better than those who receive vague or no feedback. This finding aligns with the objective of identifying how structured feedback systems influence productivity.

4. Chi-Square Test: Association Between Feedback Mechanisms and Job Satisfaction

Variables	Calculated χ^2 Value	Table Value (0.05 level)	Result
Feedback Mechanism vs. Job Satisfaction	12.86	9.49	Significant

Interpretation:

Since the calculated Chi-square value (12.86) exceeds the table value (9.49) at a 5% significance level, the **null hypothesis is rejected**. This means there is a **significant association between feedback mechanisms and job satisfaction**. In simple terms, when employees receive better feedback, their satisfaction levels improve correspondingly.

5. Correlation Analysis: Feedback and Performance

Variables	Correlation Coefficient (r)	Interpretation
Feedback Quality and Employee Performance	0.74	Strong Positive Correlation

Interpretation:

The correlation coefficient ($r = 0.74$) indicates a **strong positive relationship** between feedback quality and performance. This implies that as the quality and clarity of feedback improve, employees tend to perform better. The finding supports the research objective of establishing the link between feedback practices and employee output.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The primary objective of this study was to examine how employee feedback mechanisms influence job satisfaction and performance. The results obtained from the analysis clearly indicate that feedback frequency, quality, and timeliness are significant predictors of both employee satisfaction and performance outcomes.

1. Discussion of Key Findings

The results revealed that employees who receive frequent and constructive feedback report higher levels of satisfaction and motivation. Approximately 70% of respondents agreed that regular feedback from supervisors helps them improve their work and align with organizational goals. This aligns with Bakker and Demerouti (2021), who found that timely and supportive feedback fosters engagement and psychological well-being among employees.

The Chi-square test showed a significant association between feedback mechanisms and job satisfaction, confirming that communication between management and employees plays a vital role in maintaining morale and productivity.

Similarly, Sahoo and Mishra (2020) highlighted that feedback acts as a reinforcement tool, increasing employees' sense of value and belonging within the organization.

Furthermore, the correlation analysis ($r = 0.74$) demonstrated a strong positive relationship between feedback quality and performance. This result supports the findings of Aguinis et al. (2022), who emphasized that performance-oriented feedback improves clarity in expectations and enhances goal achievement. The consistency between these results and prior research validates the theoretical foundation that effective feedback mechanisms are central to employee success. However, some respondents remained neutral about the timeliness of feedback, indicating occasional delays or lack of follow-up after performance reviews. This observation contrasts with Mousa and Othman (2023), who reported that immediate feedback after task completion leads to greater learning retention and motivation. The current study thus adds new insight by revealing that delayed or inconsistent feedback can diminish the positive impact of otherwise well-structured feedback systems.

2. New Insights and Contradictions

While past research has largely focused on feedback as a motivational tool, this study highlights its dual function - both as a communication channel and as a performance management mechanism. It shows that the perceived fairness and constructiveness of feedback have a stronger influence on satisfaction than the mere presence of feedback itself.

Moreover, the study underscores that feedback effectiveness depends on organizational culture - a variable often overlooked in earlier studies. Open, transparent cultures enhance feedback acceptance, while rigid hierarchies limit its impact. This finding aligns with the conclusions of Smith (2020), who noted that well-being and satisfaction outcomes from HR initiatives are contingent upon the broader organizational climate.

3. Practical Implications

The findings have several practical implications for organizations, HR practitioners, and policymakers:

- **For Organizations:**

Companies should establish structured and transparent feedback systems that ensure regular and meaningful communication between managers and employees. Incorporating digital feedback tools or performance dashboards can help maintain consistency and timeliness.

- **For HR Managers:**

Training supervisors in **constructive feedback delivery**—focusing on clarity, tone, and employee involvement—can enhance both satisfaction and productivity. Managers should be encouraged to turn feedback sessions into developmental conversations rather than one-sided evaluations.

- **For Policymakers:**

The results highlight the need to integrate **employee feedback culture** into HR policy frameworks and labour welfare guidelines. Promoting feedback as a right and not just a managerial discretion can improve workforce morale and overall organizational health.

- **For Society:**

By fostering environments where employees feel heard and valued, organizations contribute to greater mental well-being, lower turnover, and improved quality of work life—factors that strengthen the socio-economic fabric of workplaces.

VI. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

Conclusion

The study aimed to examine the relationship between employee feedback mechanisms and their impact on job satisfaction and performance. The analysis reveals that feedback frequency, quality, and timeliness significantly influence employee satisfaction and overall performance. Employees who receive regular, constructive, and two-way feedback demonstrate higher motivation, better clarity of expectations, and improved work output. The Chi-square and correlation analyses confirm that feedback mechanisms are strongly associated with job satisfaction ($\chi^2 = 12.86$, $p < 0.05$) and positively correlated with performance ($r = 0.74$).

The findings also highlight that feedback alone is not enough; the effectiveness depends on perceived fairness, clarity, and organizational culture. Collaborative feedback sessions that encourage discussion are more effective than one-way evaluation processes. Overall, the study supports the view that well-structured feedback systems are a critical driver of both employee well-being and organizational success.

Limitations of the Study

1. **Sample Size and Scope:** The study was conducted with 100 employees from a single organization, which may limit the generalizability of the results to larger or diverse industries.
2. **Self-Reported Data:** Data were collected through questionnaires and interviews, which may involve **response bias** or subjectivity in evaluating feedback experiences and performance.
3. **Time Constraints:** The study provides a **cross-sectional view** of feedback practices and does not capture long-term effects or changes over time.
4. **Limited Variables:** The study focuses primarily on feedback mechanisms, job satisfaction, and performance, without considering other moderating factors such as employee personality, work stress, or leadership style.

Recommendations

1. **Enhance Feedback Frequency and Quality:** Organizations should implement regular, structured, and constructive feedback sessions to maintain motivation and performance.
2. **Two-Way Communication:** Encourage open dialogue between employees and supervisors during feedback to foster trust and clarity.
3. **Manager Training:** Conduct training programs for managers to deliver feedback effectively, emphasizing fairness, specificity, and developmental focus.
4. **Use of Technology:** Implement digital platforms for continuous feedback to ensure timeliness and documentation.
5. **Integrate Feedback Culture:** Develop organizational policies that make feedback an integral part of employee engagement, rather than a periodic evaluation process.

Scope for Future Research

Future studies could expand the research by:

- Including **larger samples across multiple organizations** to enhance generalizability.
- Conducting **longitudinal studies** to assess the long-term impact of feedback on performance and satisfaction.
- Exploring the role of **moderating variables** such as organizational culture, leadership style, or employee personality traits.
- Comparing **traditional feedback systems with digital or continuous feedback mechanisms** to evaluate differences in effectiveness.

REFERENCES

1. Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., & Joo, H. (2022). Using performance management to increase employee engagement and performance: A review and research agenda. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(3), 100854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2021.100854>
2. Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2021). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 26(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000270>
3. Culbertson, S. S., Henning, J. B., & Payne, S. C. (2013). Performance appraisal satisfaction: The role of feedback and goal orientation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 34(6), 801–820. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.1834>
4. Hinterhuber, A. (2023). Digital transformation and employee engagement: The role of technology-enabled feedback. *Journal of Business Research*, 150, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113429>
5. Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(2), 254–284. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.119.2.254>
6. Kumar, V. (2020). Impact of supervisor feedback on employee performance and job satisfaction. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 10(4), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijhrs.v10i4.17789>
7. Mosquera, P., Soares, M. E., & Ribeiro, F. (2018). The relevance of feedback environment for job satisfaction. *Revista de Psicología del Trabajo y de las Organizaciones*, 34(3), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.5093/jtpo2018a21>

Volume 14, Issue 10, October 2025**[DOI: 10.15680/IJIRSET.2025.1410033]**

8. Mousa, M., & Othman, A. (2023). Effectiveness of timely feedback on employee learning and motivation in organizations. *Employee Relations*, 45(2), 230–245. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-09-2022-0376>
9. Purwanto, E. (2020). The effect of managerial orientation and feedback on salespersons' job satisfaction. *Jurnal Ilmu Ekonomi dan Bisnis*, 11(2), 112–125. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.6653>
10. Rasheed, A., Khan, S. U., Rasheed, M. F., & Munir, Y. (2015). Feedback orientation and satisfaction with feedback as determinants of in-role performance. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 26(4), 431–455. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.21202>
11. Sahoo, C. K., & Mishra, P. (2020). Feedback as a motivator: Its impact on job satisfaction in Indian organizations. *Management and Labour Studies*, 45(3), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0258042X20924877>
12. Sparr, J. L., & Sonnentag, S. (2008). Feedback environment and well-being at work: The mediating role of personal control and feelings of helplessness. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 17(3), 260–282. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13594320802077146>
13. Steel, P., Bauer, T. N., & Shih, H. A. (2021). The feedback environment: A meta-analytic review and future research agenda. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 106(12), 1787–1810. <https://doi.org/10.1037/apl0000913>
14. Su, W., & Jiang, S. (2023). Positive feedback, feedback seeking, and employee performance: The moderating role of feedback orientation. *South Asian Journal of Business and Management Cases*, 12(1), 56–71. <https://doi.org/10.1177/22779779231139106>
15. Weilin, S., & Shangfeng, J. (2023). The role of positive feedback and feedback orientation in enhancing performance outcomes. *Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11(2), 78–91. <https://doi.org/10.5923/j.jhrm.20231102.03>



INTERNATIONAL
STANDARD
SERIAL
NUMBER
INDIA



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF INNOVATIVE RESEARCH

IN SCIENCE | ENGINEERING | TECHNOLOGY

 9940 572 462  6381 907 438  ijirset@gmail.com



www.ijirset.com

Scan to save the contact details